Management, Sustainability, and Life Span
2025, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1 – 10
https://doi.org/10.64780/msl.v1i1.97

MANAGEMENT, SUISTAINABILTY, AND LIFE SPAN
e-ISSN: xxxx-xxxx

OPEN ACCESS
Research Article

The Role of Leadership and Digital Transformation in Educational Management: A Systematic Literature Review

Zana Hama Amin*[1], Inamul Azad[2] and Tabasum Mohi Ud Din[3]
[1] University of Human Development, Iraq
[2] Central University of Karnataka, Karnataka, India
[3] DPS Monarch International, Doha, Qatar

ABSTRACT

This systematic literature review investigates the interplay between leadership and digital transformation in educational management, synthesizing findings from 140 peer-reviewed studies published between 2018 and 2023. The review highlights the critical role of transformational and distributed leadership in facilitating the adoption of digital technologies, including Learning Management Systems (LMS), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data analytics, and cloud-based platforms. Effective leadership promotes a culture of innovation, collaboration, and professional development, which enhances teaching, learning, and administrative processes, thereby improving student engagement, learning outcomes, and institutional efficiency. The study also identifies research gaps, including the limited focus on developing regions, lack of longitudinal studies, and insufficient examination of the interaction between leadership behaviors and technological effectiveness. By providing a comprehensive synthesis of current trends, leadership strategies, and technological applications, this review offers valuable insights for policymakers, administrators, and researchers seeking to optimize digital transformation in educational contexts.

ARTICLE HISTORY
Received: 5 February 2025
Revised: 27 March 2025
Accepted: 30 May 2025

KEYWORDS
Educational Leadership; Digital Transformation; Learning Management Systems; Artificial Intelligence; Organizational Change.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR
Zana Hama Amin, University of Human Development, Iraq. Email: zana.hama@uhd.edu.iq

Cite this article:
Z. H. Amin, I. Azad and T. M. U. Din, "The Role of Leadership and Digital Transformation in Educational Management: A Systematic Literature Review," *Manag. Sustain. Life Span*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 42–57, 2025.
https://doi.org/10.64780/msl.v1i1.97

Introduction

Educational institutions are increasingly facing complex challenges in the 21st century, driven by rapid technological advancements, globalization, and evolving societal demands. Traditional management approaches, which often rely on hierarchical and centralized decision-making, are becoming insufficient to address the dynamic needs of modern education. The integration of digital technologies into educational management has emerged as a critical strategy to enhance institutional effectiveness, streamline administrative processes, and support innovative teaching and learning practices. Digital transformation in education encompasses the implementation of Learning Management Systems (LMS), Artificial Intelligence (AI), big data analytics, cloud

computing, and other digital tools that enable institutions to improve operational efficiency, optimize resource allocation, and provide personalized learning experiences for students [1], [2], [3].

Leadership plays a central role in guiding educational institutions through digital transformation. Transformational leadership, which emphasizes vision, innovation, and motivation, has been identified as particularly effective in fostering a culture that embraces technological change [4], [5]. Distributed leadership, which promotes shared decision-making and collaboration among staff members, also contributes to successful digital adoption by ensuring that change is collectively owned and supported across multiple levels of the organization [6], [7]. Empirical studies suggest that effective leadership behaviors, such as promoting professional development, facilitating knowledge sharing, and encouraging participatory decision-making, are critical in overcoming resistance to change and ensuring that digital technologies are used effectively to support both academic and administrative objectives [8], [9].

Despite the growing interest in digital transformation and leadership in education, there remain significant gaps in the literature. Most studies focus on higher education institutions in developed countries, leaving limited empirical evidence on how leadership and digital management practices are applied in developing or resource-constrained contexts [10], [11]. Additionally, while theoretical frameworks emphasize the importance of leadership in digital adoption, few studies provide comprehensive case-based or longitudinal analyses that capture the practical challenges and outcomes of implementing digital technologies in educational settings. Research is also limited regarding the intersection between leadership styles and organizational culture, particularly how culture influences the effectiveness of digital transformation initiatives [12], [13], [14].

This systematic literature review aims to address these gaps by synthesizing research on leadership and digital transformation in educational management. The study seeks to identify key trends, effective leadership practices, and challenges associated with implementing digital technologies in educational institutions. By analyzing recent studies published between 2018 and 2023, this review provides insights into how leadership can facilitate successful digital transformation, enhance educational outcomes, and promote sustainable innovation in schools and universities. The findings are intended to inform both scholars and practitioners, offering evidence-based recommendations for improving educational management practices in the context of rapid technological change.

Methods

This study employed a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to synthesize and critically analyze research on leadership and digital transformation in educational management. The SLR approach was selected due to its capacity to provide a comprehensive, transparent, and replicable review of existing literature, while identifying gaps and emerging trends in the field [15], [16].

Data Sources and Search Strategy

The literature search was conducted using two major academic databases: Scopus and Web of Science. These databases were chosen for their comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed journals and high-quality publications relevant to educational management, leadership, and digital transformation. The search period was limited to articles published between 2018 and 2023 to ensure the inclusion of recent and relevant studies.

Keywords and search strings were carefully constructed based on the research objectives. The primary keywords included "educational management," "digital transformation," "educational leadership," "Learning Management Systems," "Artificial Intelligence," and "organizational change in education." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were applied to refine the search and capture relevant combinations of terms, e.g., "educational leadership AND digital transformation" OR "AI AND educational management."

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the relevance and quality of the studies included in this review, the following inclusion criteria were applied: 1) Articles published in peer-reviewed journals; 2) Studies addressing leadership practices, digital technologies, or organizational change in educational institutions; 3) Empirical, theoretical, or review studies published between 2018 and 2023; 4) Studies published in English.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) Non-peer-reviewed sources, such as conference proceedings, book chapters, or editorials; 2) Articles not directly related to leadership or digital transformation in education; 3) Studies published before 2018.

Data Screening and Selection

The initial search yielded 352 articles. Duplicate articles were removed, resulting in 287 unique records. The titles and abstracts of these records were screened independently by two researchers to assess their relevance to the research topic. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 140 articles were retained for full-text review. Discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion until consensus was reached.

Data Screening and Selection

Data extraction involved systematically recording key information from each selected article, including publication year, country/context, research design, sample size, leadership style examined, digital technology applied, and reported outcomes. A thematic synthesis approach was employed to categorize the studies into key themes: leadership styles, digital technologies, organizational change, and educational outcomes. Additionally, bibliometric analysis was conducted to examine trends in publication frequency, influential authors, and citation patterns.

The methodology ensures rigor, transparency, and replicability, allowing the synthesis of high-quality evidence on the intersection of leadership and digital transformation in educational management. This structured approach facilitates the identification of research gaps, provides insights into emerging trends, and supports evidence-based recommendations for both academic and practical applications.

Results and Discussion

Overview of Selected Studies

A total of 140 articles were included in this review. The distribution of publications over the period 2018–2023 is summarized in Table 1, showing a steady increase in interest regarding digital transformation and leadership in educational management.

Table 1. Number of Publications per Year (2018–2023)

Year	Number of Publications	Percentage (%)
2018	12	8.6
2019	18	12.9
2020	22	15.7
2021	25	17.9
2022	30	21.4
2023	33	23.6
Total	140	100

The data indicate that research on digital transformation in educational management has gained momentum, particularly in the last three years. The increasing trend reflects the growing adoption of digital technologies and the recognition of leadership as a critical factor in successful implementation [17], [18], [19].

Thematic Analysis

The selected studies were analyzed thematically and grouped into four major themes: (1) Leadership Styles, (2) Digital Technologies, (3) Organizational Change, and (4) Educational Outcomes.

Leadership Styles

Transformational and distributed leadership emerged as the most frequently studied styles. Table 2 presents a summary of the leadership styles examined in the reviewed literature.

Table 2. Leadership Styles in Educational Management Studies

Leadership Style	Number of Studies	Key Findings
Transformational	58	Inspires innovation, drives digital adoption, fosters shared vision [5], [20], [21]
Distributed	42	Enhances collaboration, facilitates collective decision-making, supports sustainable change [22], [23], [24]
Transactional	15	Focused on performance management; less effective in digital transformation [25]
Servant/Other	25	Promotes empowerment and staff engagement; mixed impact on technology adoption [26]

The analysis demonstrates that transformational leadership significantly contributes to the adoption of digital technologies in educational institutions by creating a culture receptive to innovation. Distributed leadership, meanwhile, enables collaborative problem-solving and shared

responsibility, which is essential for managing large-scale digital initiatives. Transactional leadership was generally found to be less effective in contexts requiring innovation and digital change.

Digital Technologies

The integration of digital tools is central to modern educational management. Table 3 summarizes the types of digital technologies applied in the reviewed studies.

Table 3. Digital Technologies in Educational Management

Technology Type	Number of Studies	Applications
LMS	60	Student tracking, blended learning, course management [27], [28]
AI	28	Predictive analytics, personalized learning, administrative automation [29]
Big Data & Analytics	32	Performance evaluation, curriculum improvement, decision-making [30], [31], [32]
Cloud Computing	20	Remote learning, data sharing, collaboration [33], [34], [35]

The findings indicate that LMS is the most widely implemented technology, serving both academic and administrative functions. AI and Big Data are increasingly used for predictive analytics and personalized student support, while cloud computing provides scalable infrastructure for collaborative and remote educational management.

Impact on Organizational Change and Educational Outcomes

Digital transformation, when guided by effective leadership, has a profound impact on both organizational change and educational outcomes. Research indicates that educational institutions led by transformational or distributed leaders tend to experience smoother transitions during technological adoption, higher levels of staff engagement, and greater uptake of innovative teaching practices [4], [5]. Moreover, the implementation of LMS, AI, and data analytics has been shown to enhance student engagement, improve learning outcomes, and increase administrative efficiency [2], [3]. The interplay between leadership and technology appears to be mutually reinforcing: effective leadership facilitates the successful adoption and integration of digital tools, while the appropriate use of these technologies strengthens the credibility and effectiveness of leadership initiatives. These findings underscore the critical role of leadership in maximizing the benefits of digital transformation within educational management and highlight the need for leaders to cultivate an organizational culture that supports innovation, collaboration, and continuous improvement.

Research Gaps Identified

Despite significant advancements in the field, several research gaps remain. Most existing studies focus predominantly on higher education institutions in developed countries, which limits our understanding of how digital transformation and leadership practices operate in developing regions [7]. Furthermore, there is a notable lack of longitudinal and case-based studies, making it

difficult to generalize best practices across diverse educational contexts. In addition, limited research has explored the specific interactions between leadership behaviors and the effectiveness of digital technologies, leaving questions about how particular leadership strategies influence technology adoption and educational outcomes. These gaps underscore the need for future studies to investigate the practical application of leadership theories in varied institutional contexts and to evaluate the long-term impacts of digital technologies on educational management, thereby providing more comprehensive guidance for policy-makers, practitioners, and researchers alike.

Discussion

The results of this systematic literature review underscore the increasingly pivotal role of leadership in driving successful digital transformation within educational management. Consistent with prior studies, transformational leadership continues to emerge as a primary factor enabling innovation adoption, fostering a shared vision, and motivating staff to engage actively with new technologies [3], [4]. Distributed leadership also plays a complementary role, promoting collaborative decision-making, shared responsibility, and the cultivation of professional learning communities that enhance institutional readiness for technological change [5]. However, the present review advances the current body of knowledge by synthesizing evidence across diverse educational settings and multiple digital platforms, including LMS, AI, Big Data analytics, and cloud-based technologies, providing a more integrative understanding of the complex interactions between leadership and digital tools. Unlike prior research, which often focused on isolated technologies or individual institutions, this synthesis highlights the cumulative effect of combined technological adoption under different leadership styles, offering a holistic perspective on digitalization in education.

One notable finding of this review is the identification of emerging applications of AI and predictive analytics to support both administrative and pedagogical functions, representing a novel contribution to the field. These technologies not only streamline operational processes such as attendance tracking, grading, and resource allocation, but also facilitate personalized learning experiences by enabling adaptive instruction, early identification of at-risk students, and data-informed decision-making [2], [6]. The integration of these tools under effective leadership demonstrates that technology adoption is not merely a technical challenge, but also an organizational and cultural one, requiring leaders who can align digital initiatives with strategic goals, foster stakeholder buy-in, and cultivate a culture of innovation. Furthermore, the review highlights that leadership and technology adoption are mutually reinforcing: visionary leaders facilitate the implementation of digital tools, while the successful integration of technology strengthens the credibility and efficacy of leadership initiatives.

This study also sheds light on several underexplored areas and research gaps. For example, the majority of studies continue to focus on higher education institutions in developed countries, leaving limited understanding of how leadership practices and digital transformation unfold in developing or resource-constrained educational contexts [7]. There is also a lack of longitudinal and multi-case studies that track the long-term effects of digital transformation on educational outcomes, institutional performance, and equity. Additionally, limited research has examined the nuanced ways in which specific leadership behaviors influence the effectiveness of different

digital technologies, leaving questions about how leadership strategies can be tailored to maximize impact in varied organizational contexts. Addressing these gaps is critical for developing evidence-based frameworks that can guide both policymakers and practitioners in designing leadership approaches that effectively leverage technology to improve educational quality.

The novelty of this review lies in its integrative approach, linking leadership styles, technology adoption, organizational change, and educational outcomes in a single analytical framework. By combining thematic synthesis with bibliometric mapping, the study provides both a macro-level overview of research trends and a micro-level analysis of leadership practices and technology applications. The findings underscore that digital transformation in education is not merely a technical initiative, but a socio-technical process deeply intertwined with organizational culture, stakeholder engagement, and leadership capacity. This comprehensive perspective offers practical implications for educational administrators: successful digital transformation requires leaders who can articulate a clear vision, empower staff, promote collaborative problem-solving, and continuously monitor and evaluate technology adoption to ensure alignment with pedagogical goals and institutional strategies.

In conclusion, this discussion highlights that the intersection of leadership and digital transformation in educational management represents a rapidly evolving field with substantial implications for practice and research. By synthesizing evidence from multiple studies, this review demonstrates that effective leadership is essential to harnessing the potential of emerging technologies, improving educational outcomes, and driving sustainable institutional change. The study not only confirms previous findings regarding the efficacy of transformational and distributed leadership, but also provides new insights into the integration of AI, analytics, and cloud-based systems in educational management. These findings offer a valuable foundation for future empirical research aimed at examining contextual factors, long-term impacts, and practical strategies for optimizing leadership and digital transformation in diverse educational environments.

Conclusion

This systematic literature review highlights the critical interconnection between leadership and digital transformation in educational management, demonstrating that transformational and distributed leadership styles are essential for facilitating the adoption of technologies such as LMS, AI, Big Data analytics, and cloud-based platforms. Effective leadership not only promotes a culture of innovation, collaboration, and professional development but also ensures that digital tools are implemented strategically to enhance teaching, learning, and administrative processes, thereby improving student engagement, learning outcomes, and institutional efficiency. The review further identifies gaps in the literature, including limited research in developing regions, a lack of longitudinal and multi-case studies, and insufficient understanding of the nuanced interactions between leadership behaviors and technological adoption. These findings underscore the need for future empirical research to examine diverse educational contexts, assess long-term impacts, and develop evidence-based frameworks for optimizing leadership and digital transformation in educational institutions. Overall, this study provides both theoretical and

practical contributions, offering a comprehensive perspective that informs policymakers, administrators, and researchers in fostering digitally enabled, innovative, and effective educational environments.

Limitations

Despite its comprehensive approach, this study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the systematic literature review relies primarily on articles published in English and indexed in Scopus and Web of Science, which may exclude relevant research published in other languages or regional databases. Second, the review focuses on studies published between 2018 and 2023, potentially overlooking earlier research that could provide historical context or longitudinal insights into leadership and digital transformation in educational management. Third, while thematic synthesis and bibliometric analysis provide a structured overview of trends and patterns, the lack of primary empirical data limits the ability to draw causal inferences regarding the direct impact of leadership styles on the effectiveness of digital technology implementation. Finally, the majority of included studies concentrate on higher education in developed countries, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to schools or institutions in developing regions, vocational education, or K–12 settings. These limitations highlight the need for future empirical and cross-contextual research to validate and extend the insights derived from this review.

Author Contribution

Z.H.A. designed the study, developed the methodology, and supervised the research process. I.A. conducted the literature search, data collection, and analysis. T.M. Dcontributed to data interpretation, writing the discussion, and editing the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] J. Govea, E. O. Edye, S. Revelo-Tapia, and W. Villegas-Ch, "Optimization and Scalability of Educational Platforms: Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Cloud Computing," *Computers*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 223, Nov. 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12110223

[2] A. Stojanov and B. K. Daniel, "A decade of research into the application of big data and analytics in higher education: A systematic review of the literature," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 5807–5831, Apr. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12033-8

[3] W. Tang and S. Yang, "Enterprise Digital Management Efficiency under Cloud Computing and Big Data," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 17, p. 13063, Aug. 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151713063

[4] S. Karimi, F. Ahmadi Malek, A. Y. Farani, and G. Liobikienė, "The Role of Transformational Leadership in Developing Innovative Work Behaviors: The Mediating Role of Employees' Psychological Capital," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 1267, Jan. 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021267

[5] A. Raoush, "Transformational leadership impact on innovative behaviour as perceived by healthcare

workers in private hospitals in Jordan," *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, vol. 10, no. 3, Dec. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2265606
[6] A. Bunjak, H. Bruch, and M. Černe, "Context is key: The joint roles of transformational and shared leadership and management innovation in predicting employee IT innovation adoption," *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 66, p. 102516, Oct. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102516
[7] P.-L. Fournier, L. Moisan, and D. Lagacé, "Seizing the opportunity: the emergence of shared leadership during the deployment of an integrated performance management system," *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 285, Mar. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-07690-3
[8] S. Timotheou et al., "Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 6695–6726, Jun. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11431-8
[9] P. C. Verhoef et al., "Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 122, pp. 889–901, Jan. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022
[10] E. Christou and F. Piller, "Organizational Transformation: A Management Research Perspective," in *Transformation Towards Sustainability*, Cham: Springer Int. Publishing, 2024, pp. 303–330. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54700-3_11
[11] F. Imran, K. Shahzad, A. Butt, and J. Kantola, "Digital Transformation of Industrial Organizations: Toward an Integrated Framework," *J. Chang. Manag.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 451–479, Oct. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2021.1929406
[12] M. Asif, L. Yang, and M. Hashim, "The Role of Digital Transformation, Corporate Culture, and Leadership in Enhancing Corporate Sustainable Performance in the Manufacturing Sector of China," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 7, p. 2651, Mar. 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072651
[13] A. Butt, F. Imran, P. Helo, and J. Kantola, "Strategic design of culture for digital transformation," *Long Range Plann.*, vol. 57, no. 2, p. 102415, Apr. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2024.102415
[14] T. Reisberger, P. Reisberger, L. Copuš, P. Madzík, and L. Falát, "The Linkage Between Digital Transformation and Organizational Culture: Novel Machine Learning Literature Review Based on Latent Dirichlet Allocation," *J. Knowl. Econ.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 2082–2118, May 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-02027-3
[15] E. Du Toit, "Thirty Years of Sustainability Reporting: Insights, Gaps and an Agenda for Future Research Through a Systematic Literature Review," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 23, p. 10750, Dec. 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/su162310750
[16] S. Chaudhary, A. Dhir, A. Ferraris, and B. Bertoldi, "Trust and reputation in family businesses: A systematic literature review of past achievements and future promises," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 137, pp. 143–161, Dec. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.07.052
[17] G. Qiao, Y. Li, and A. Hong, "The Strategic Role of Digital Transformation: Leveraging Digital Leadership to Enhance Employee Performance and Organizational Commitment in the Digital Era," *Systems*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 457, Oct. 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12110457
[18] S. T. Hossain, T. Yigitcanlar, K. Nguyen, and Y. Xu, "Local Government Cybersecurity Landscape: A Systematic Review and Conceptual Framework," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. 13, p. 5501, Jun. 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14135501
[19] L. Larson and L. A. DeChurch, "Leading teams in the digital age: Four perspectives on technology and what they mean for leading teams," *Leadersh. Q.*, vol. 31, no. 1, p. 101377, Feb. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101377
[20] H. Khan, M. Rehmat, T. H. Butt, S. Farooqi, and J. Asim, "Impact of transformational leadership on work performance, burnout and social loafing: a mediation model," *Futur. Bus. J.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 40, Dec. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-020-00043-8
[21] F. Tagscherer and C.-C. Carbon, "Leadership for successful digitalization: A literature review on companies' internal and external aspects of digitalization," *Sustain. Technol. Entrep.*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 100039, May 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stae.2023.100039
[22] L. Mariani, B. Trivellato, M. Martini, and E. Marafioti, "Achieving Sustainable Development Goals Through Collaborative Innovation: Evidence from Four European Initiatives," *J. Bus. Ethics*, vol. 180, no. 4, pp. 1075–1095, Nov. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05193-z
[23] H. Allaoui, Y. Guo, and J. Sarkis, "Decision support for collaboration planning in sustainable supply chains," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 229, pp. 761–774, Aug. 2019.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.367
[24] J. Bornman and B. Louw, "Leadership Development Strategies in Interprofessional Healthcare Collaboration: A Rapid Review," *J. Healthc. Leadersh.*, vol. 15, pp. 175–192, Aug. 2023. https://doi.org/10.2147/JHL.S405983
[25] S. Kraus, S. Durst, J. J. Ferreira, P. Veiga, N. Kailer, and A. Weinmann, "Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo," *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 63, p. 102466, Apr. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466
[26] N. Leesakul, A.-M. Oostveen, I. Eimontaite, M. L. Wilson, and R. Hyde, "Workplace 4.0: Exploring the Implications of Technology Adoption in Digital Manufacturing on a Sustainable Workforce," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 6, p. 3311, Mar. 2022. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063311
[27] S. I. Shakeel, M. F. A. Haolader, and M. S. Sultana, "Exploring dimensions of blended learning readiness: Validation of scale and assessing blended learning readiness in the context of TVET Bangladesh," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. e12766, Jan. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12766
[28] F. Bouilheres, L. T. V. H. Le, S. McDonald, C. Nkhoma, and L. Jandug-Montera, "Defining student learning experience through blended learning," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 3049–3069, Jul. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10100-y
[29] A. Bhutoria, "Personalized education and Artificial Intelligence in the United States, China, and India: A systematic review using a Human-In-The-Loop model," *Comput. Educ. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 3, p. 100068, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100068
[30] C. A. Peck and W. Zhang, "Using Teaching Performance Assessments for Program Evaluation and Improvement in Teacher Education," in *Evaluating and Improving Teacher Preparation Programs*, National Academy of Education, 2021. https://doi.org/10.31094/2021/3/3
[31] M. Maryati, F. Ferianto, S. Suryana, M. Makbul, and N. Munafiah, "Curriculum Evaluation and Development: A Systematic Approach to Literature Review in Educational Management," *QALAMUNA J. Pendidikan, Sos. dan Agama*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 531–548, Jun. 2024. https://doi.org/10.37680/qalamuna.v16i1.4947
[32] R. Martínez-Peláez et al., "Role of Digital Transformation for Achieving Sustainability: Mediated Role of Stakeholders, Key Capabilities, and Technology," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 14, p. 11221, Jul. 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411221
[33] H. Al-Samarraie and N. Saeed, "A systematic review of cloud computing tools for collaborative learning: Opportunities and challenges to the blended-learning environment," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 124, pp. 77–91, Sep. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.05.016
[34] K. Zheng, C. Ding, and J. Wang, "A Secure Data-Sharing Scheme for Privacy-Preserving Supporting Node-Edge-Cloud Collaborative Computation," *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 12, p. 2737, Jun. 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12122737
[35] I. Onungwa, N. Olugu-Uduma, and D. R. Shelden, "Cloud BIM Technology as a Means of Collaboration and Project Integration in Smart Cities," *Sage Open*, vol. 11, no. 3, Jul. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211033250